FORESTHILL PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Minutes

Special Meeting of the
FORESTHILL PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Foresthill Fire Protection District Administrative Building
24320 Main Street, Foresthill, CA 95631
www.foresthillpud.com

Wednesday	July 29, 2026	6:00 P.M.

Any person may access and comment during the meeting by doing the following:

Join Zoom Meeting: https://us06web.zoom.us/j/82837681166 Meeting ID: 828 3768 1166

1. OPEN SESSION - CALL TO ORDER 6:00 PM

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE- Lead by Chase Dowling

3. ROLL CALL

~~Y~~ President Chase Dowling
~~Y~~ Vice President Mark Bell
~~Y~~ Treasurer Ron Thompson
~~Y~~ Director Roger Pruett
Y Director Dianne Foster

General Manager, Hank White was present. District Council, Steven Wang was present. Secretary, Sally Hicks was present.

4. ADJUSTMENTS TO THE AGENDA WITHIN CATEGORIES

Chase Dowling made a motion to move item 8.3 to 8.1
Mark Bell second the motion
Motion carries 5-0

Mark Bell motioned to omit 8.3 and move to next board meeting due to lack of supporting documents, for example wasn't discussed, no signatures in the agreement, nothing to support the increase.
Roger Pruett second the motion.
Motion carries 3-2 (Dowling, Foster and Thompon voting no)

Director Foster expressed the fact that she would prefer the word "consider" in the last line of the agenda item 8.1.1 to say "discuss" instead.
Director Foster would also like the word "opinion" in agenda item 8.2.1 to be "in facts" it's not an opinion, and also the word "will revise" instead of "consider."

5. PUBLIC COMMENT:

- Members of the public may provide comments to the Board on items that are not on the agenda. We welcome and encourage your comments as the Board takes them into consideration in our deliberations.
- Speakers are limited to a maximum of three minutes.
- The Board may not respond to, discuss, or engage in any type of dialog regarding any public comment; however, the President may direct questions to staff for a later response or future consideration by the Board.
- Appropriate and respectful language and behavior is vital to the functioning of a public meeting. We ask the Board, staff, and members of the public to speak courteously and respectfully. Therefore, the Board prohibits disruptive behavior.

No public comments

6. CONSENT AGENDA: None

7. OPERATIONS ACTION ITEMS: None

8. BOARD MEMBER ACTION ITEMS:

8.1. **Title:** Response to 2025/2026 Placer County Grand Jury Report. (changed to 8.3)

8.1.1. **Description:** The Placer County Grand Jury has issued its 2025/2026 Final Report, which includes findings and recommendations applicable to the Foresthill Public Utility District. The Board will review the report and consider the District's required response.

8.1.2. **Requested Outcome:** Review and discuss the 2025/2026 Placer County Grand Jury Report and draft the District's official response for submission.

8.1.3. **Supporting Documentation:** 2025/2026 Placer County Grand Jury Report Draft Response.

8.1.4. **Submitted by:** Board of Directors

Council wang read finding #1. Mark Bell made a motion to agree with finding number 1. Chase Dowling second the motion. Motion carries 5-0

Council Wang read finding #2. All Board members are in agreement.
Chase Dowling made a motion to agree with findings. Dianne Foster second the motion.
Motion carries 5-0

Council Wang read finding #3. All board members agree with findings.
Chase Dowling made a motion to agree with findings. Mark Bell second the motion.
Motions carries 5-0

Council Wang read findings #4. All members agree except for Dianne Foster. She believes FPUD is violating GAAP. The GJ is right on and that's why and in-house finance manger is needed. She agrees with GJ. As far as the President, Chase Dowling knows FPUD has followed GAAP. Mark Bell made a motion to disagree wholly with finding #4. Chase Dowling second the motion. Motions carries 3-2 (Thompson and Foster voting no)
Mark Bell motioned to approve the draft write up for finding #4. Chase Dowling second motion.
Motion carries 3-2 (Thomspon and Foster voting no)
Dianne questioned where Steve got the information. He stated from the auditor report.

Council read finding #5. Ron Thompson stated he is in support of in-house finance manager. Director Foster wanted to know where the information is coming from. He stated the auditors report. Council is emphasizing this is his recommendation. Chase Dowling doesn't think we need to add the information about LSL, LLP. Mark Bell made a motion to partially disagree with finding #5 and with modified changes. Chase Dowling second motion.
Public comment: concerns one person is in control of accounts payable. General managers shouldn't be in accounting. Needs a finance manager like policy says. Having a seasoned finance manager will protect the rate payers money.
Director Foster would like to go on record that Sue has a background in accounting.
Motion carries 3-2 (Thompson and Foster voting no)

Council Wang read findings #6. Ron Thompson is for GJ recommendation of having in-house finance manager. Director Foster agrees with GJ recommendation in having an in-house finance manager. Council Wang described policies in the response. The board has three choices in responding, agree, partially agree or wholly disagree. Councils recommendation is board partially agrees. Ron Thompson is concerned LSL can't verify their financial responsibility because its given to them. Chase Dowling made a motion to partially disagree with finding #6 and adopt the wording presented by council. Roger Pruett second the motion. Motion carries 3-2 (Thompson and Foster voting no)

Council Wang read finding #7. Reminded board it's a response for consideration. Council is trying to get board close to an answer/platform. Director Foster agrees with findings. Chase thinks the district is trying to do better. The boards strives to follow the policies to the best of ability. Director Foster is concerned polices have not been followed. Chase Dowling made a motion to partially disagree with finding #7 and adopt wording in draft. Roger Pruett second the motion.
Motion carries 3-2 (Thomspon and Foster voting no)

2 hr. time limit
Mark Bell made a motion to continue meeting. Dianne Foster second motion.
Motions carries 5-0

Chase Dowling read finding #8. Director Foster agrees with Grand Jury. Last time LAFCO reviewed FPUD was 2006. State law states it has to be done every 5 years. FPUD is scheduled for 2027. In Director Foster's opinion had LAFCO been involved prior years we might not be today. The board has provided over sight as a board. The lack of LAFCO not being involved for the past 15 years, maybe district would be better. Chase Dowling made a motion to concur with finding #8. Dianne Foster second motion. Motions carries 5-0

Council Wang read Recommendations 24-25 No 1.
The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors should amend its response to the 2024-25 Placer County Grand Jury final report, to clearly align responses to the specific recommendations and provide implementation timelines to recommendations, by October 30, 2026.

Mark Bell likes having it be implemented and approve as is and hold it until needed. Dianne Foster agrees with Mark. Chase suggest adding September 28, 2026 in response. Mark Bell suggest we change it to on or before September 28, 2026. Chase Dowling made a motion recommendation has been implemented and adopt language in draft with modification of on or before September 28, 2026. Mark Bell second motion.
Motion carries 5-0

Board approved response:
Recommendation No. 1 has been implemented.
The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors approved and submitted amended responses to the 2024-2025 Placer County Grand Jury final report on or before September 28, 2026. The amended responses remedied the technical deficiencies in the initial responses and are consistent with the requirements in Penal Code section 933.05

Council wang read recommendation No. 2.The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors should engage the services of a professional management consultant, such as those services offered through the California Special Districts Association on leadership/board development, board planning/facilitation, and organizational assessment, no later than October 30, 2026.

Mark Bell stated this is another to hold on to. Ron Thompson agrees. Dianne Foster doesn't agree. Chase Dowling stated we need to try, we owe it to the community, the district, and Grand Jury. Mark agrees with Chase, the district needs to try. Some trainings would be helpful to see if board could work better together. CSD only gives recommendations. Chase Dowling made a motion to approve recommendation #2 stating has not been implemented but will be implemented in the future and approve remain text in draft. Mark Bell second the motion.
Motions carries 4-1 (Foster voting no)

Board response will be on next month's agenda to discuss.

Council Wang read recommendation No. 3. Directors shall all follow the Foresthill Public Utility District Handbook and Sate law and complete mandatory legal and fiduciary training, and maintain records of completion as mandated by the state law, no later than October 30, 2026.
Ron concerned there's a law that employees are under 20 don't have to take classes. The board is not an employee so council believes this is not the case. He believes all directors have to take this training. The new law states anyone who takes office after January 2027 is subject to the new law. Council Wang clarified newly elected officials have to be compliant. Existing officials will have an extended period of time to complete trainings. The GJ recommends to get trainings complete by October 2028.
Chase Dowling made a motion to approve recommendation has not yet been implemented with the following text in draft. Roger Pruett second the motion. Motion carries 5-0

Board approved response:
Recommendation No. 3 has not yet been implemented.
All five Directors of the Foresthill Public Utility District are committed to follow the District's Policy Handbook, state law, and fulfill the mandatory training requirements. As of the date of this response, one Director has taken and completed all three mandatory legal and fiduciary trainings including AB 1234 ethics training, AB 1661 sexual harassment prevention training, and SB 827 fiscal and financial training. Two Directors have completed AB 1234 ethics training and AB 1661 sexual harassment prevention training (missing SB 827 fiscal and financial training). Two Directors have not completed any of the three mandatory trainings. Training opportunities are available and provided to all District Directors; however, the Directors are individually responsible for taking and completing the required trainings. It is anticipated that the Directors missing any of the mandatory trainings will complete the outstanding trainings on or prior to October 30, 2026. Training records are maintained at the District and are available for public inspection.

Council Wang read recommendation No.4. The Foresthill Public Utility Districts should hire an in-house finance manager, as directed in the Foresthill Public Utility District Handbook, who reports directly to the board as well as the general manager, no later than October 30, 2026.
He emphasized this is just a recommendation to protect the board. Comes from a position that the board has authority of what to do. Mark Bell suggest do some word smithing again. Suggest edits in 2nd paragraph take out sentences starting at "Based on". Ron Thompson suggest accuracy is his biggest concern. Dianne Foster is concerned there are 23 checks missing. She has reached out to LSL and not getting a response. Concerned there are serious financial problems. Chase stated sees the legal stand point, acknowledging the board doesn't have to but will implement in the future. Dianne thinks an in-house finance manager can be hired by October 30, 2026. Mark Bell suggest saying will not implement at this time, but can implement in future.

Ron Thompson stated this is the start of 3rd Grand Jury investigation. What the GJ recommends is what the board should do. Council is trying to protect board. The Grand Jury is telling the district what they have to do. Mark Bell suggest
Chase Dowling made a motion to say recommendation No. 4 will be implemented.
Dianne Foster second the motion.
Public comment: What happens if you can't meet the time requirement?
Public comment #2: Are you legally obligated to follow policy? Public comment states the owl hasn't been working, she can't hear anything. Concerned the board doesn't follow policy and Grand Jury recommendations. She thanked Ron and Dianne for fighting for rate payers. Mark states doesn't meet requirements for a response. Chase stated he has it in 2 motions.
Motion carries 3-2 (Bell and Pruett voting no)

Chase asked Steve to work on wording to articulate boards views.
Chase made a motion to accept drafted wording council stated.
Dianne Foster second the motion.
Motion carries 3-2 (Bell and Pruett voting no)

Mark Bell wants to include something to acknowledge the GJ can't require the board to hire an in-house finance manager. Saying you can't make us do this, but we are looking into it.
Mark Bell made a motion to approve Council Wang revised recommendation.
Chase Dowling second the motion.
Motion carries 3-2 (Thompson and Foster voting no)

Dianne Foster would like to make a motion for board to research hiring in-house finance manager prior to August board meeting.

Another 2 hr. mark need to motion to continue or stop.

Dianne Foster made a motion to continue meeting
Chase Dowling second motion.
Motion carries 5-0
Reconvened at 9:16pm

Board approved response:
Recommendation No. 4. The Foresthill Public Utility District should hire an in-house finance manager, as directed in the Foresthill Public Utility District Policy Handbook, who reports directly to the board as well as the general manager, no later than October 30, 2026. The District is not mandated by law to hire in-house staff. Pursuant to Public Utilities Code section 16034, the Board may contract for any professional services required by the District, the Board, or any officers of the District. In furtherance of that state law, Policy No. 3082 of the Foresthill Public Utility District Policy Handbook authorizes the Board to select professional services provider on the basis of demonstrated competence and professional qualifications. At its February 11, 2026

Board meeting, the Board of Directors evaluated the benefits of staffing the Finance Manager position in-house or contract with a professional accounting service to provide Finance Manager services to the District. The Board decided to continue contracting with LSL, LLP to serve as the District's Finance Manager pursuant to its authority under Public Utilities Code section
16034 and Policy No. 3082 of the Foresthill Public Utility
District Policy Handbook

Council Wang read recommendation 5. The Foresthill Public Utility should exercise financial oversight to ensure segregation of duties and appropriate checks and balances, in alignment with generally accepted accounting principles as directed by an in-house fiancé manager, by December 31, 2026.
Mark Bell explained the auditor looks at segregation of duties. The auditor is independent. Suggested the italic print be removed. Concerned there's no checks and balances in-house. Chase Dowling made a motion to accepts Councils revision. Dianne Foster second the motion.
Motion carries 5-0

Council suggest amend 1st recommendation No. 5 Chase Dowling made a motion to approve revised recommendation. Dianne Foster second the motion.
Motion carries 5-0

Board approved response:
Recommendation No. 5 has not been implemented as far as it requires the District to hire an in-house Finance Manager because the District may lawfully contract for professional services such as accounting and financial services. The Board of directors appreciates the Grand Jury;s recommendation and will hire an in-house fiancé manager no later than October 30, 2026.
Since contracting with LSL, LLP to provide professional accounting and financial management services, LSL has provided monthly financial reports to the Board for purpose of allowing the Board to exercise oversight and provide appropriate checks and balances with respect to the District's financial matters, consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and may reserve the right to hire an in-house finance manager.

Council Wang read recommendation No. 6 The Foresthill Public Utility District board should direct the in-house fiancé manager to provide direct financial reporting on a monthly basis, by December 31, 2026.

Councils recommendation is to repeat same recommendation in No. 5
Chase Dowling made a motion to repeat same recommendation in No. 5
Dianne Foster second the motion
Motion carries 5-0

Board approved response:
Recommendation No. 6 will not be implemented as far as it requires the District to hire an in-house Finance Manager because the District may lawfully contract for professional services such as accounting and financial services.
Since contracting with LSL, LLP to provide professional accounting and financial management services to the District, LSL has provided monthly financial reports to the Board.

Council Wang read recommendation No. 7 The Foresthill Public Utility District board chair and general manager should follow polices regarding parliamentary procedures, remote participation, adherence to the Brown Act provisions, and decorum for public meetings, no later than the October 2026 board of directors meeting.
Director Foster doesn't believe there is an issue with parliamentary procedure. Council states the response states the board follows board policies for remote participation.
The issue with teleconferencing referenced September 2025 and October 2025. The law can always evolve. Some laws apply in 2022 and some laws apply in 2024. Have to see what law was applicable at that meeting. There are three versions of the same law. The different types of law, just cause, traditional or emergencies. Traditional teleconferencing rules apply prior to covid. Under 2449 you can vote via online. Chase Dowling made a motion to approve recommendation #7. Mark Bell second the
Motion carries 3-2 (Thompson and Pruett voting no)

Board approved response:
Recommendation No. 7 has been implemented.
The Chair of the District's Board of Directors and the District General Manager adhere to the Brown Act (including remote participation under Government Code sections 54953(b) and 54953.8) and all policies governing decorum and the conduct of Board meetings in the Foresthill Public Utility District Policy Handbook such as, for example, Policy Nos. 5010 (governing Board meetings), 5030 (governing Board meeting conduct), 5040 (governing Board actions and decisions), 5060 (governing meeting minutes), and 5070 (governing rules of order for Board meetings). While this practice has always been followed, for purpose of responding to the Grand Jury's Report, this Recommendation has been implemented and remains in place since June 30, 2026, the date of the Placer County Grand Jury Final Report 2025-2026.

Council Wang stated recommendation No. 8
Given the twenty-year gap from the last Placer Local Agency formation Commission review, the Placer Local Agency Formation Commission should conduct a service review of the Foresthill Public Utility District zs part of the "Placer LAFCO Final Workshop and Budget for Fiscal Year 2025-26, with the review scheduled no later than August 30, 2026, and exercise ongoing individual oversight as determined by that review.
does not require a response. Recommendation No. 2 will be on next month's agenda.

8.2. **Title:** Response to 2024/2025 Placer County Grand Jury Report

8.2.1. **Description:** The 2025/2026 Placer County Grand Jury Final Report states that, in the Grand Jury's opinion, the District's response to the 2024/2025 Grand Jury Report did not fully comply with the response requirements of California Penal Code sections 933 and 933.05. The Board will review its previously submitted response and consider revisions.

8.2.2. **Requested Outcome:** Review the District's 2024/2025 response to the Placer County Grand Jury, draft a revised response, and approve the revised response for submission to the Placer County Grand Jury.

8.2.3. **Supporting Documentation:** Draft revision to the 2024/2025 Placer County Grand Jury Report.

8.2.4. **Submitted by:** Board of Directors

Recommendation No. 1: Recommendation No. 1: The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors should evaluate the benefits of staffing the vacant Finance (or Business) Manager position inhouse or execute and engagement letter with an accounting services provider no later than Sept. 30, 2025.
(Board's response was "has not yet been implemented.")

Recommendation No. 1 discussion:

Council Wang read the recommended draft response. He reminded the Board that these are proposed responses for the boards consideration. There was concern this recommendation was not met. The recommendation happened in February 2026 when the board evaluated the benefits and decided to stay with LSL at that time. The board will continue to evaluate LSL from time to time. Ron Thompson stated he is in favor of an in-house finance manager. Concerns that LSL stated they are not responsible for accuracy of their reports because they don't have access. Ron Thompson also stated he's been blocked from his FPUD email. Director Foster is concerned this recommendation has not been met. Director Foster states that this Recommendation has never been implemented because the Board has never secured a recent "engagement letter" from LSL. The only one available is dated 2022-2023 which the Board at the time had not approved. Council Wang clarified the Grand Jury is asking FPUD to evaluate the benefits of having an in-house finance manager or execute an engagement letter.

Director Bell made a motion to accept draft as is. Roger Pruett second the motion. Motion passed 4-1 (Foster voting no)
FPUD Board Approved response: "To accept the draft response which is the following: "Recommendation No. 1 has been implemented. -- At its February 11, 2026 Board meeting, the Board of Directors evaluated the benefits of staffing the Finance Manager position in-house or contract with a professional accounting service to provide Finance Manager services to the District. Pursuant to legal authority under Public Utilities Code section 16034 and Policy No. 3082 of the District's Policy Handbook, the Board decided not to staff this position in-house at this time, but instead contract with LSL, LLP, one of the largest accounting firms in California with an office in Sacramento specializing in providing financial and accounting services to government agencies, to provide professional accounting and financial services to the District as the District's Finance Manager."

Recommendation No. 2: The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors should prepare and approve a strategic plan at the next fiscal planning cycle (2025-2026) and update annually. (Board's response was "has been implemented.")

Recommendation No 2. Discussion:
Council Wang read draft response. Mark Bell asked Hank if we were in the process of seeking qualified persons. Currently he is not. The GM need clarification, he questioned who is going to be doing that? Next fiscal year is May 2027. Mark suggest change 1st line to say the FPUD is in the "process" to "staff" will seek qualified persons. Director Foster is concerned about the language. Theres no time frame. She reached out to LAFCO and spoke with Josh Alpine and they have put in their June budget they will put FPUD up for an examination. According to Council Wang this has nothing to do with LAFCO. Dianne's understanding is that LAFCO will look into things like the radio gates. The response has to be in by September.
Chase Dowling made a motion adopt written response to recommendation 2 with changing August 28, 2026 dates to September 28, 2026 and change July 1, 2027 to May 1, 2027.
Only changing the dates in this recommendation right now. Agenized who is seeking qualified persons? Mark Bell second the motion.
Motion carries- 4-1 (Foster voting no)

FPUD board approved response:
"to adopt draft written response -- No. 2 has not yet been implemented and to changing August 28, 2026 dates to September 28, 2026 and change July 1, 2027 to May 1, 2027. The Foresthill Public Utility District is in the process of seeking qualified persons or consultants to provide strategic planning services to meet the District's long term vision. In consideration of the District's annual operating budget, capital expenditures, grants, and other sources of income, the strategic plan will analyze

strategies, risks, opportunities and succession planning that extends to the District's ownership and operation of Sugar Pine Reservoir.
It is anticipated that qualified strategic plan consultants/facilitators will be contacted on or prior to August 28, 2026. After engaging in the consultant, the Board anticipates to work on the strategic plan, review, and approve said plan well before the District's next fiscal planning cycle starting July 1, 2027. The Board expects to review and update the strategic plan annually.

Recommendation No. 3: Foresthill Public Utility District job descriptions should be updated and approved by the Board of Directors no later than Sept. 30, 2025. (Board's response was "has not yet been implemented.")

Recommendation No.3 discussion
Council Wang read recommendation for consideration. Director Foster is concerned this recommendation has not been met and there's more than 2 that needs job descriptions. There's an employee with no job description. Vince Longly is an employee and a job description needs to be written along with revisions to Policies #2301, #2305, #2310, #2360)
Council Wang suggest is say "is in process of reviewing" instead. Mark Bell made a motion to approve recommendation 3 as revised. Chase Dowling second the motion.
Motion carries 5-0
General Manager White wanted to clarify who will seek qualified persons. Will it be staff or the board? President Chase Dowling stated that will be agenized for next meeting.

FPUD Board approved response:
Recommendation No. 3 has not been implemented.
The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors is reviewing and approving updates to the District's job descriptions and anticipates to complete its review and approval by its September 9, 2026 Board meeting.

Recommendation No. 4: The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors should develop a plan (timeline and milestones) to updates its Policy Handbook no later than Sept. 30, 2025. (Board's response was "has not yet been implemented.")

Recommendation No. 4 discussion:
Council Wang read recommended response for Boards consideration. Mark Bell is good with response except for date. Director Thompson stated past Director Tamra West would revise 8-10 policies per Board meeting and they would pass. Director Foster believes this is an impossible task given the polarization of the Board. FPUD has 88 policies, minus the ones GM is revising. Then with the new BP 5025, it would take years to revise all policies. Best to wait to after the Nov. election with possibly new Board members and attempt the revisions. Director Dowling agreed with everyone.

with everyone. Chase agrees with it how's its been written.
Chase made a motion to continue with recommendation as suggested in recommendation No. 4. Roger Pruett second the motion.
Motion carries 4-1 (Foster voting no)

FPUD approved response:
"Recommendation 4 has not yet been implemented. The Foresthill Public Utility District Board of Directors has been reviewing approximately one policy per month, allowing for focused discussion and thoughtful deliberation on each item. Due to the collaborative nature of this process, and the time required to obtain full Board consensus, each policy revision may take several months to complete.
This ongoing approach ensures that policies are carefully evaluated and reflect the Board's collective judgment, and the District anticipates that the update to the entire policy Handbook will be completed by December 31, 2026."

Recommendation No. 5: The Foresthill Public Utility District General Manager responsibilities should be decoupled from minute taking no later than September 30, 2025. (Board's response was "has not yet been implemented.")

Recommendation No. 5
Council Wang read recommended response. Secretary Hicks stated she stared in April 2026 not March. Chase Dowling made a motion to approve recommendation No. 5 as revised. Dianne Foster second the motion.
Motion carries 5-0

FPUD approved response:
Recommendation No. 5 has been implemented.
The District hired a Board Secretary in April 2026. Among other duties, the Board Secretary is tasked with the responsibility of taking minutes of Board meetings. The Board Secretary has been taking Board meeting minutes since the April 8, 2026 Board meeting.

5 minute recess, re commence 7:22pm

8.3. **Title:** Board Secretary Compensation Adjustment (changed to item 8.1)
8.3.1. **Description:** In March 2026, the Board of Directors appointed a Board Secretary and authorized the Board President to negotiate and execute an agreement for those services. The Board is now considering a proposed adjustment to the Board Secretary's hourly compensation from $30.00 per hour to $40.00 per hour.

8.3.2. **Requested Outcome:** Consider and approve a compensation adjustment for the Board Secretary, increasing the hourly rate to $40.00 per hour, effective as directed by the Board.
8.3.3. **Supporting Documentation:** Draft employment agreement for Board Secretary.
8.3.4. **Submitted by:** Chase Dowling

President Dowling wants to approve an increase in secretary wage to $40/hr.
Roger Pruett expressed it's a big bump in wages, not to say she's not worth it, but it's quite a bit.
Mark Bell expressed it's quite a bump in wages and no access to contract.
No comment from Ron Thompson.
Dianne Foster expressed it's a lot of time and hours put in to minutes, calling people, and redoing minutes, she also stated she thinks the secretary is doing a good job.

Chase Dowling motion to bump Sally to next tier in contract.
Dianne Foster second the motion.
Motion carries 5-0

9. BOARD MEMBER POLICY ACTION ITEMS: None

10. DISCUSSION ITEMS: None

11. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS – Future agenda items are to help the General Manager and Board President craft the next month's agenda. Unless otherwise voted upon, the future agenda will comprise of no more than one new board member action item and no more than one new policy action item. Now is the time to discuss which items the board would like to see first to help prioritize efficiency.

11.1. **FUTURE BOARD MEMBER ACTION ITEMS - None**
11.2. **FUTURE POLICY ACTION ITEMS - None**

11.3. **FUTURE DISCUSION ITEMS - None**

12. GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT - None

13. DIRECTORS' ITEMS/INFORMATION ONLY

14. CLOSED SESSION – None

15. REPORT OUT OF CLOSED SESSION – None

16. ADJOURNMENT: 9:50 pm

In accordance with Government Code Section 54954.2(a) this notice and agenda were posted in the district's front window at the Foresthill Public Utility District office, 24540 Main Street, Foresthill, CA 95631 on or before 4:30 PM., July 28, 2026.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the General Manager at (530)367-2511. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the district to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

Submitted By Secretary, Sally Hicks.